

Designing with L-Systems, Part 6: Generating T-Sequence Expressions

The article on creating graphic images from L-Systems [1] illustrated the power of interpretation in which characters are given meaning. For creating images, characters are interpreted as instructions for a drawing program.

The concept of interpretation is very general and very powerful. This article describes an interpretation that constructs t-sequence expressions [2-8].

This example illustrates the idea:

```
seed:    S
rules:   S → pal(T)
         T → rpt(U,l)
```

This is a terminating L-System [9] with only two generations:

```
pal(T)
pal(rpt(U,l))
```

The interpretation of these strings, as the characters used suggest, is that *pal* is a function that produces a palindrome from its argument and *rpt* is a function that produces a repeat of its first argument a number of times specified by its second argument. Note that although *p*, *a*, *l*, *r*, and *t*, are individual constant characters in the L-System, *pal* and *rpt* can be treated as strings during interpretation.

If *U* is given the value [1, 2, 3, 4] and *l* the value 2 during interpretation, the result is

```
[1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1, 4, 3, 2, 1]
```

The L-System above could, of course, be derived from its final generation:

```
pal(rpt(U,l))
```

The value of using an L-System to characterize the patterns rather than just using the t-sequence expression it produces is that the components are represented in separate rules and hence easy to understand, while a t-sequence expression may be complicated and deeply nested, which is difficult for human beings (but not computer pro-

grams) to understand, and may be difficult to construct by hand.

The articles on t-sequences cast operations in an abstract operator notation using a variety of mathematical symbols and typographical devices.

For example, the expression from the example above,

$$\text{pal}(\text{rpt}(U,l))$$

is written in the abstract operator notation as

$$\cap(U \times i)$$

The following correspondences between the abstract t-sequence notation and concrete L-System strings will be used in subsequent articles. Refer to the articles on t-sequences [2-8] for an explanation of the operations.

<i>t-sequence operations</i>	<i>L-System strings</i>
$S \mid T$ concatenation	$\text{cat}(S,T)$
$S \times i$ repetition	$\text{rpt}(S,l)$
$S \Rightarrow i$ extension	$\text{ext}(S,l)$
$i \rightarrow j$ simple run	$\text{run}(l,j)$
$\rightarrow S$ connected run	$\text{crun}(S)$
S / T disconnected run	$\text{drun}(S,T)$
$\leftrightarrow S$ horizontal reflection	$\text{hor}(S)$
$\updownarrow S$ vertical reflection	$\text{vert}(S)$
$\cap S$ palindrome formation	$\text{pal}(S)$
$S @ T$ motif along a path	$\text{motif}(S,T)$
$S \equiv i$ modular reduction	$\text{mod}(S,l)$
$\#S$ modular expansion	$\text{xmod}(S)$
$S \sim T$ collation	$\text{coll}(S,T)$

Some t-sequence expressions have options; the ones used here have the default options.

Note: As of this writing, the series of articles on t-sequences is incomplete. As more articles are added, this table will be extended accordingly.

References

1. *Designing with L-Systems, Part 2: A Side Trip to Graphics*, 2004:
http://cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ls02.pdf
2. Ralph E. Griswold, *T-Sequences, Part 2: Introduction*, 2004:
http://www.cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ts01.pdf
3. Ralph E. Griswold, *T-Sequences, Part 2: Extension*, 2004:
http://www.cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ts02.pdf
4. Ralph E. Griswold, *T-Sequences, Part 3: Runs*, 2004:
http://www.cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ts03.pdf
5. Ralph E. Griswold, *T-Sequences, Part 4 Symmetries*, 2004:
http://www.cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ts04.pdf
6. Ralph E. Griswold, *T-Sequences, Part 5: Motifs Along Paths*, 2004:
http://www.cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ts05.pdf
7. Ralph E. Griswold, *T-Sequences, Part 6: Modular Operations*, 2004:
http://www.cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ts06.pdf
8. Ralph E. Griswold, *T-Sequences, Part 7: Collation*, 2004:
http://www.cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ts07.pdf
9. *Designing with L-Systems, Part 5: Termination*, 2004:
http://cs.arizona.edu/patterns/weaving/webdocs/gre_ls05.pdf

Ralph E. Griswold
Department of Computer Science
The University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona
© 2004 Ralph E. Griswold